I	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP	LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP
2	B. Trent Webb, Esq. (pro hac vice)	W. West Allen (Nevada Bar No. 5566)
2	Peter E. Strand, Esq. (pro hac vice)	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
3	2555 Grand Boulevard Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2613	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 949-8200
4	Telephone: (816) 474-6550	Fax: (702) 949-8398
4	Facsimile: (816) 421-5547	WAllen@LRLaw.com
5	bwebb@shb.com	,,,, <u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
_		GREENBERG TRAURIG
6	Robert H. Reckers, Esq. (pro hac vice)	Mark G. Tratos, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 1086)
7	600 Travis Street, Suite 3400	Leslie Godfrey, Esq. (Nevada Bar No. 10229
	Houston, Texas 77002	3773 Howard Hughes Parkway
8	Telephone: (713) 227-8008	Suite 400 North
9	Facsimile: (713) 227-9508 rreckers@shb.com	Las Vegas, NV 89169
9	Treckers@sno.com	Telephone: (702) 792-3773 Facsimile: (702) 792-9002
10	DANIEL B. WINSLOW, Esq. (pro hac vice)	tratosm@gtlaw.com
	RIMINI STREET, INC.	roosb@gtlaw.com
11	6601 Koll Center Parkway Suite 300	godfreyl@gtlaw.com
12	Pleasanton, CA 94566 Telephone: (925) 264-7736	
	dwinslow@riministreet.com	
13		
14		
	Attorneys for Defendants	
15	Rimini Street, Inc., and Seth Ravin	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
18		
	RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation	I
19	Khvinvi STREET, hve., a revada corporation	Case No. 2:14-cv-01699-LDG-VCF
20	Plaintiff,	
20	,	NOTICE OF RELATED CASE
21	v.	PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 7-
22		2.1
	ORACLE INTERNATIONAL	
23	CORPORATION, a California corporation,	
24	Defendant.	
24	Defendant.	
25		J
36		
26		
27		
28		

304574

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a related case *Oracle USA*, *Inc.*, *et al. v. Rimini Street*, *Inc.* and *Seth Ravin*, Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL, was filed on January 25, 2010, in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-2.1 of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Plaintiff Rimini Street, Inc. (hereinafter "Rimini") hereby respectfully submit this Notice of Related Case Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-2.1.

I. APPLICABLE STANDARD UNDER L.R. 7-2.1

Under Civil Local Rule 7-2.1, "Counsel who has reason to believe that an action on file or about to be filed is related to another action on file (whether active or terminated) shall file in each action and serve on all parties in each action a notice of related cases. This notice shall set forth the title and number of each possibly related action, together with a brief statement of their relationship and the reasons why assignment to a single district judge and/or magistrate judge is desirable."

An "action is related to another when: (a) Both actions involve the same parties and are based on the same or similar claim; (b) Both actions involved the same property, transaction or event; (c) Both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same question of law and their assignment to the same district judge and/or magistrate judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort, either because the same result should follow in both actions or otherwise; or, (d) For any other reason, it would entail substantial duplication of labor if the actions were heard by different district judges or magistrate judges." Civil L.R. 7-2.1.

II. ORACLE V. RIMINI, CASE NO. 2:10-CV-0106-LRH-PAL AND RIMINI V. ORACLE, CASE NO. 2:2014-CV-01699 ARE RELATED

These two actions, *Oracle USA*, *Inc.*, *et al.* v. *Rimini Street*, *Inc.* and *Seth Ravin*, Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL and *Rimini Street*, *Inc.* v. *Oracle International Corp.*, Case No. 2:2014-cv-01699 are related. They involve the same parties, arise from same or substantially similar claims, involve the same property, and involve similar questions of fact and law. Oracle has noted the relatedness of the cases, referring to the Rimini's new processes that are at issue in in the present

Case 2:14-cv-01699-LRH-PAL Document 16 Filed 02/11/15 Page 3 of 5

case as "old wine in a new bottle and every bit as infringing as the old process." Joint Request for Case Management at 7, *Oracle USA, Inc., et al. v. Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin*, Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL (D. Nev. Sept. 17, 2014).

In particular, both actions involve Oracle International Corp. and Rimini Street, Inc., and the same Oracle copyrights allegedly infringed in *Oracle USA, Inc., et al. v. Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin*, Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL are at issue in Rimini's in this action. Further, both actions involve Oracle's contracts with Rimini's customers that have already been interpreted by Judge Hicks in *Oracle USA, Inc., et al. v. Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin*, Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL. The interpretation of Oracle's contracts requires significant judicial resources and assignment of *Rimini Street, Inc. v. Oracle International Corp.*, Case No. 2:2014-cv-01699 to another judge will result in duplicative use of judicial resources and could produce inconsistent results. The judge who hears *Rimini Street, Inc. v. Oracle International Corporation*, Case No. 2:2014-cv-01699 will be called upon to resolve questions of fact and law similar to those raised by Oracle and Rimini in present case, including the interpretation of Oracle's contracts with Rimini's customers, and whether those contracts allow for Rimini's conduct.

Rimini no longer operates in the manner that is at issue in *Oracle USA*, *Inc.*, *et al. v. Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin*, Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL, rather Rimini has developed new processes that are allowed under the terms of Oracle's contract with Rimini's customers. Because the Court in *Oracle USA*, *Inc.*, *et al. v. Rimini Street*, *Inc. and Seth Ravin*, Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL has determined that Oracle's infringement claims regarding these new processes will not be determined, it was necessary for Rimini to file this Declaratory Judgment action, or risk another infringement suit brought by Oracle.

24 | | ///

///

///

///

25 | ///

Case 2:14-cv-01699-LRH-PAL Document 16 Filed 02/11/15 Page 4 of 5

For the foregoing reasons, assignment of this case to the same district judge and magistrate judge that have been handling Case No. 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL since 2010 (namely, Judge Hicks and Magistrate Judge Leen) is desirable because the relation of these two cases is likely to effect a saving of judicial effort and other economies, and it will diminish the likelihood of inconsistent results. DATED: February 11, 2015 SHOOK, HARDY & BACON /s/ Robert H. Reckers_ By: Robert H. Reckers, Esq. Attorney for Defendants Rimini Street, Inc. and Seth Ravin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I hereby certify that the foregoing NOTICE OF RELATED CASE PURSUANT TO CIVIL 3 LOCAL RULE 7-2.1 was served on the 11th day of February, 2015, via email, as indicated below. 4 5 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP BINGHAM MCCUTCHEN LLP RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568) GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (pro hac vice) 6 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800 THOMAS S. HIXSON (pro hac vice) Las Vegas, NV 89101 KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (pro hac vice) 7 Telephone: (702) 382-7300 Three Embarcadero Center Facsimile: (702) 382-2755 San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 8 rpocker@bsfllp.com Telephone: 415.393.2000 9 Facsimile: 415.393.2286 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP geoff.howard@bingham.com 10 STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (pro hac vice) thomas.hixson@bingham.com KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (pro hac vice) kristen.palumbo@bingham.com 11 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612 12 Telephone: (510) 874-1000 ORACLE CORPORATION Facsimile: (510) 874-1460 13 JAMES C. MAROULIS (pro hac vice) sholtzman@bsfllp.com 500 Oracle Parkway 14 kringgenberg@bsfllp.com M/S 5op7 Redwood City, CA 94070 15 Telephone: 650.506.4846 Facsimile: 650.506.7114 16 jim.maroulis@oracle.com 17 18 19 20 21 By:_/s/ Robert H. Reckers 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Notice of Related Case Pursuant to L.R. 7-2.1